

My grad student was doing research for me and printed the output for a problem but unfortunately lost the last page of the output that had the final z row on it.

I want to know the solution to the dual problem. Which variables from the dual problem must be 0? Explain why.

$$\begin{array}{r} X5 = 35 - 1.0 X1 - 1.0 X3 + 0.0 X6 + 0.0 X7 \\ X2 = 30 - 2.0 X1 + 0.0 X3 - 1.0 X6 + 0.0 X7 \\ X4 = 5 + 0.5 X1 - 2.5 X3 + 0.5 X6 - 0.5 X7 \\ X8 = 90 - 9.5 X1 - 2.5 X3 - 3.5 X6 + 0.5 X7 \end{array}$$

Summary of complementary slackness:

1. If $x_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the i th dual equation is tight.
2. If equation i of the primal is not tight, $y_i = 0$.

The problem from the previous slide was:

Maximize $1 X_1 + 2 X_2 + 1 X_3 + 2 X_4$

subject to

$$1 X_1 + 0 X_2 + 1 X_3 + 0 X_4 \leq 35$$

$$2 X_1 + 1 X_2 + 0 X_3 + 0 X_4 \leq 30$$

$$1 X_1 + 1 X_2 + 5 X_3 + 2 X_4 \leq 40$$

$$3 X_1 - 3 X_2 + 5 X_3 + 1 X_4 \leq 5$$

$$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \geq 0$$

The solution had

$$X_1 = 0, X_2 = 30, X_3 = 0, X_4 = 5$$

Finish solving for the dual solution using complementary slackness.

Checking our algebra with the last dictionary:

After 5 pivots:

$$X5 = 35 - 1.0 X1 - 1.0 X3 + 0.0 X6 + 0.0 X7$$

$$X2 = 30 - 2.0 X1 + 0.0 X3 - 1.0 X6 + 0.0 X7$$

$$X4 = 5 + 0.5 X1 - 2.5 X3 + 0.5 X6 - 0.5 X7$$

$$X8 = 90 - 9.5 X1 - 2.5 X3 - 3.5 X6 + 0.5 X7$$

$$z = 70 - 2.0 X1 - 4.0 X3 - 1.0 X6 - 1.0 X7$$

Complementary Slackness Theorem (5.2):

x' = feasible primal solution

y' = feasible dual solution

Necessary and sufficient conditions for simultaneous optimality of x' and y' are

1. For all $i=1, 2, \dots, m$, either

$$(a_{i1}^* x_1' + a_{i2}^* x_2' + \dots + a_{in}^* x_n') = b_i \text{ or}$$

$$y_i' = 0 \text{ (or both).}$$

2. For all $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, either

$$(a_{1j}^* y_1' + a_{2j}^* y_2' + \dots + a_{mj}^* y_m') = c_i$$

$$\text{or } x_i' = 0 \text{ (or both).}$$

Recipe for Using this Theorem

Given: x' which is a feasible solution.

Question: Is x' an optimal solution?

Procedure:

1. First consider each x_j' ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) such that x_j' is NOT 0. Write down the equation of the dual that corresponds to the coefficients of x_j in the primal: $(a_{1j} y_1 + a_{2j} y_2 + \dots + a_{mj} y_m) = c_j$
2. Next consider each equation i of the primal problem ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots, m$). If the i th equation is NOT tight (there is some slack), write down $y_i = 0$.

3. Solve these equations that you get for y . If there is a unique solution continue. If not, abort.

4. Test y to see if it is dual feasible. Yes- x' is optimal. No- x' is not optimal.

When does the system of equations have a unique solution?

Theorem 5.4 in text: when x' is a nondegenerate basic feasible solution.

Nondegenerate: no basic variables have value 0.

The problem:

$$\text{Maximize } 5 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 3 X_3$$

subject to

$$2 X_1 + 3 X_2 + 1 X_3 \leq 5$$

$$4 X_1 + 1 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 11$$

$$3 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 8$$

$$X_1, X_2, X_3 \geq 0$$

The second last dictionary:

$$u = (2.5, 0, 0)$$

$$X1 = 2.5 - 1.5 X2 - 0.5 X3 - 0.5 X4$$

$$X5 = 1 + 5 X2 + 0 X3 + 2 X4$$

$$X6 = 0.5 + 0.5 X2 - 0.5 X3 + 1.5 X4$$

$$z = 12.5 - 3.5 X2 + 0.5 X3 - 2.5 X4$$

Check this using complementary slackness.

$$u = (2.5, 0, 0)$$

Maximize

$$5 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 3 X_3$$

Constraints:

$$2 X_1 + 3 X_2 + 1 X_3 \leq 5$$

$$4 X_1 + 1 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 11$$

$$3 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 8$$

1. First consider each X_j ' such that X_j ' is NOT 0. Write down the equation of the dual that corresponds to the coefficients of X_j in the primal:

$$X_1 \neq 0: 2 Y_1 + 4 Y_2 + 3 Y_3 = 5$$

2. Next consider each equation i of the primal problem. If the i th equation is **NOT tight** (there is some slack), write down **$Y_i = 0$** .

$$2 X_1 + 3 X_2 + 1 X_3 \leq 5$$

$$4 X_1 + 1 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 11$$

$$3 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 8$$

$$2(2.5) + 3(0) + 1(0) = 5 = 5 \text{ TIGHT}$$

$$4(2.5) + 1(0) + 2(0) = 10 < 11 \quad Y_2 = 0$$

$$3(2.5) + 4(0) + 2(0) = 7.5 < 8 \quad Y_3 = 0$$

Solve for y :

$$\begin{aligned} 2 Y_1 + 4 Y_2 + 3 Y_3 &= 5 \\ Y_2 &= 0 \\ Y_3 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$y_1 = 5/2, \quad y_2 = 0, \quad y_3 = 0.$$

The problem:

Maximize $5 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 3 X_3$
subject to

$$2 X_1 + 3 X_2 + 1 X_3 \leq 5$$

$$4 X_1 + 1 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 11$$

$$3 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 8$$

$$X_1, X_2, X_3 \geq 0$$

What is the dual?

Check $y_1 = 5/2$, $y_2 = 0$, $y_3 = 0$ for
dual feasibility.

The last dictionary:

$$v = (2, 0, 1)$$

$$X1 = 2 - 2 X2 - 2 X4 + 1 X6$$

$$X5 = 1 + 5 X2 + 2 X4 + 0 X6$$

$$X3 = 1 + 1 X2 + 3 X4 - 2 X6$$

$$z = 13 - 3 X2 - 1 X4 - 1 X6$$

Check this using complementary slackness.

1. Write down dual equations for non-zero X_i 's:

$$v = (2, 0, 1)$$

Maximize

$$5 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 3 X_3$$

Constraints:

$$2 X_1 + 3 X_2 + 1 X_3 \leq 5$$

$$4 X_1 + 1 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 11$$

$$3 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 8$$

$$X_1 \neq 0: 2 Y_1 + 4 Y_2 + 3 Y_3 = 5$$

$$X_3 \neq 0: 1 Y_1 + 2 Y_2 + 2 Y_3 = 1$$

2. Next consider each equation i of the primal problem. If the i th equation is NOT tight (there is some slack), write down $Y_i = 0$.

$$v = (2, 0, 1)$$

Constraints:

$$2 X_1 + 3 X_2 + 1 X_3 \leq 5$$

$$4 X_1 + 1 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 11$$

$$3 X_1 + 4 X_2 + 2 X_3 \leq 8$$

$$2(2) + 3(0) + 1(1) = 5 = 5 \quad \text{TIGHT}$$

$$4(2) + 1(0) + 2(1) = 10 < 11 \quad Y_2 = 0$$

$$3(2) + 4(0) + 2(1) = 8 = 8 \quad \text{TIGHT}$$

3. Solve for Y.

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 \neq 0: & \quad 2 Y_1 + 4 Y_2 + 3 Y_3 = 5 \\ X_3 \neq 0: & \quad 1 Y_1 + 2 Y_2 + 2 Y_3 = 3 \\ & \quad \quad \quad Y_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Solution: (1, 0, 1)

Test y for dual feasibility.

We have already argued that these conditions are necessary for optimality.

Why are they sufficient?

Complementary Slackness Theorem (5.2):

x' = feasible primal solution

y' = feasible dual solution

Necessary and sufficient conditions for simultaneous optimality of x' and y' are

1. For all $i=1, 2, \dots, m$, either

$$(a_{i1}^* x_1' + a_{i2}^* x_2' + \dots + a_{in}^* x_n') = b_i \text{ or}$$

$$y_i' = 0 \text{ (or both).}$$

2. For all $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, either

$$(a_{1j}^* y_1' + a_{2j}^* y_2' + \dots + a_{mj}^* y_m') = c_i$$

$$\text{or } x_i' = 0 \text{ (or both).}$$

Earlier we showed that for feasible primal and dual solutions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n \leq S = \\
 & (a_{11} y_1 + a_{21} y_2 + \dots + a_{m1} y_m) x_1 + \\
 & (a_{12} y_1 + a_{22} y_2 + \dots + a_{m2} y_m) x_2 + \\
 & \dots + \\
 & (a_{1n} y_1 + a_{2n} y_2 + \dots + a_{mn} y_m) x_n \\
 & = \\
 & (a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n) y_1 + \\
 & (a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2 + \dots + a_{2n} x_n) y_2 + \\
 & \dots + \\
 & (a_{m1} x_1 + a_{m2} x_2 + \dots + a_{mn} x_n) y_m \\
 & \leq b_1 y_1 + b_2 y_2 + \dots + b_m y_m
 \end{aligned}$$

In a final dictionary:

m basic variables.

Of these, assume b of them are from x_1, \dots, x_n and the remaining $m-b$ are slacks.

If the solution is not degenerate then we get b equations from having the non-zero values from x_1, \dots, x_n and $m-b$ equations that are not tight.

This gives a square (b by b) system to solve.

Complementary Slackness Theorem (5.2):

x' = feasible primal solution

y' = feasible dual solution

Necessary and sufficient conditions for simultaneous optimality of x' and y' are

1. For all $i=1, 2, \dots, m$, either

$$(a_{i1}^* x_1' + a_{i2}^* x_2' + \dots + a_{in}^* x_n') = b_i \text{ or}$$

$$y_i' = 0 \text{ (or both).}$$

2. For all $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, either

$$(a_{1j}^* y_1' + a_{2j}^* y_2' + \dots + a_{mj}^* y_m') = c_i$$

$$\text{or } x_i' = 0 \text{ (or both).}$$

Problem
is the
"or
both"
parts.