

CS 330 Lecture 14

- › Operational Semantics
 - › explain how a program behaves by specifying how an arbitrary program is to be executed on a machine whose operation is completely known
 - › abstract machine (easy to be understood and simulated by the user or the computer)
- › Alternative:
 - › definitional interpreters and compilers
- › Specification: states + rules for transitioning between states

1

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

State in Impcore

- › Toplevel t or expression e
- › value env (global variables) g
- › fundef-env f
- › value env (formal parameters) p
- › $\langle e, , , \rangle$ machine evaluating expr
- › $\langle t, , \rangle$ machine evaluating top

2

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Judgments

- › Transition rules of the abstract machine
 - › evaluating e produces value v
 - › $\langle e, g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v, g', f, p' \rangle$
 - › In the environments g, f, p evaluating e produces value v and also produces new environments g' and p', f is unchanged
 - › Eval of expr always produces a value
 - › Might change a global variable or formal param
 - › Never adds or changes function definition

3

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

eval

- › Heart of the interpreter
 - › eval(e, g, f, p) returns v and has side effects on g and p such that $\langle e, g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle e, g', f, p' \rangle$
- › Recursive implementation
 - › match each pattern for expression, recursively eval subexpressions and reduce pattern
 - › if no rule can be found machine is stuck
 - › compile or run-time error

4

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Top-level

- › $\langle t, g, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle g', f' \rangle$
- › evaluating top-level item t in the environment g and f yields new environments g' and f'

5

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Rules of inference

- › Not each judgement is true
- › Operational semantics uses rules of inference to tell which judgements are valid
- › Form:
$$\frac{\text{premises}}{\text{conclusion}}$$

IFTRUE rule:

$$\langle e_1, g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v_1, g', f, p' \rangle \quad v_1 \neq 0 \quad \langle e_2, g', f, p' \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v_2, g'', f, p'' \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3), g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v_2, g'', f, p'' \rangle$$

6

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Bottom-up over rules

IFTRUE

$$\langle e_1, g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v_1, g', f, p' \rangle \quad v_1 \neq 0 \quad \langle e_2, g', f, p' \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v_2, g'', f, p'' \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3), g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v_2, g'', f, p'' \rangle$$

Look at form – match if expression

Recursive call to find v_1, g', p' such that $\langle e_1, g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v_1, g', f, p' \rangle$
then if $v_1 \neq 0$ another recursive fall to find v_2, g'', p'' such that

$$\langle e_2, g', f, p' \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v_2, g'', f, p'' \rangle$$

Having satisfied all premises of rule IFTRUE return
 v_2 and modified environments

7

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Rules

Values $\frac{}{\langle \text{LITERAL}(v), g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle v, g, f, p \rangle}$

Variables

x is in p $\frac{}{\langle \text{VAR}(x), g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle p(x), g, f, p \rangle}$ (formal var)

x is not in p x is in g $\frac{}{\langle \text{VAR}(x), g, f, p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle g(x), g, f, p \rangle}$ (global var)

8

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Assignments

$x \text{ is in } p \quad <e, g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v, g', f, p'>$

formal
assign

$<\text{SET}(x, e), g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v, g', f, p' \{x \rightarrow v\}>$

$x \text{ is not in } p \quad x \text{ is in } g \quad <e, g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v, g', f, p'>$

global
assign

$<\text{SET}(x, e), g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v, g' \{x \rightarrow v\}, f, p'>$

$x \text{ is not in } p \quad <e, g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v, g', f, p'>$

global
assign

$<\text{SET}(x, e), g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v, g' \{x \rightarrow v\}, f, p'>$

awk

9

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Control Flow

IFTRUE:

$<e_1, g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v_1, g', f, p'> \quad v_1 \neq 0 \quad <e_2, g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v_2, g', f, p'>$

$<\text{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3), g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v_2, g', f, p'>$

IFFALSE:

$<e_1, g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v_1, g', f, p'> \quad v_1 = 0 \quad <e_3, g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v_3, g', f, p'>$

$<\text{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3), g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v_3, g', f, p'>$

10

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Loops

WHILE-ITERATE

$<e_1, g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v_1, g', f, p'> \quad v_1 \neq 0$

$<e_2, g', f, p'> \Rightarrow <v_2, g'', f, p''> \quad <\text{WHILE}(e_1, e_2), g'', f, p''> \Rightarrow <v_3, g''', f, p'''>$

$<\text{WHILE}(e_1, e_2, g, f, p)> \Rightarrow <v_3, g''', f, p'''>$

WHILEEND

$<e_1, g, f, p> \Rightarrow <v_1, g', f, p'> \quad v_1 = 0$

e_2 evaluated only for
side effects

$<\text{WHILE}(e_1, e_2), g, f, p> \Rightarrow <0, g', f, p'>$

11

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Sequential Execution

order of expressions
matters
order of premises
doesn't

$<\text{BEGIN}(), g, f, p> \Rightarrow <0, g, f, p>$

$<e_1, g_0, f, p_0> \Rightarrow <v_1, g_1, f, p_1>$

$<e_2, g_1, f, p_1> \Rightarrow <v_2, g_2, f, p_2>$

....

....

$<e_n, g_{n-1}, f, p_{n-1}> \Rightarrow <v_n, g_n, f, p_n>$

$<\text{BEGIN}(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n), g_0, f, p_0> \Rightarrow <v_n, g_n, f, p_n>$

12

CS330 Spring 2003
Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Function Application

$f(\text{foo}) = \text{USER}(<\text{x}_1, \dots, \text{x}_n>, e)$

$\text{x}_1, \dots, \text{x}_n$ all distinct

$<e_1, g_0, f, p_0> \Rightarrow <v_1, g_1, f, p_1>$

...

$<e_n, g_{n-1}, f, p_{n-1}> \Rightarrow <v_n, g_n, f, p_n>$

$<e, g_n, f, \{x_1 \rightarrow v_1, \dots, x_n \rightarrow v_n\}> \Rightarrow <v, g', f, p'>$

----- APPLY USER

$<\text{APPLY}(\text{foo}, e_1, \dots, e_n), g_0, f, p_0> \Rightarrow <v, g', f, p_n>$

behavior of function doesn't depend on function name, only definition body of a function can't get the formal parameters of its caller

functions assigns to formal parameters changes are not visible outside

p' can be thrown away after evaluation => VERY IMPORTANT

13

CS330 Spring 2003

Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Primitive Functions

$f(\text{foo}) = \text{PRIMITIVE}(+)$

$<e_1, g_0, f, p_0> \Rightarrow <v_1, g_1, f, p_1>$

$<e_2, g_1, f, p_1> \Rightarrow <v_2, g_2, f, p_2>$

 $<\text{APPLY}(\text{foo}, e_1, e_2), g_0, f, p_0> \Rightarrow <v_1 + v_2, g_2, f, p_2>$

APPLYADD

14

CS330 Spring 2003

Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria

Top-level items

$<e, g, f, \{ \}> \Rightarrow <v, g', f, p'>$

----- EVALEXP

$<\text{EXP}(e), g, f> \rightarrow <g', f>$

$<e, g, f, \{ \}> \Rightarrow <v, g', f, p'>$

----- DEFINEGLOBAL

$<\text{VAL}(x, e), g, f> \rightarrow <g'\{x \rightarrow v\}, f>$

x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n all distinct

----- DEFINE FUNCTION

$<\text{DEFINE}(\text{foo}, <\text{x}_1, \dots, \text{x}_n>, e), g, f> \rightarrow \{g, f \rightarrow \text{USER}(<\text{x}_1, \dots, \text{x}_n>, e)\}$

15

CS330 Spring 2003

Copyright George Tzanetakis, University of Victoria